

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/749,851	ZENZ, INGO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ALEXANDRIA Y. BROMELL	2167	

All Participants:

- (1) ALEXANDRIA Y. BROMELL
- (2) James Howard, Reg. No. 56,377

Status of Application: ____

- (3) ____
- (4) ____

Date of Interview: 14 January 2009
Time: 1pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
- ☐ Video Conference
- ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

101 rejection

Claims discussed:

23 - 25

Prior art documents discussed:
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner suggested an Examiner's Amendment to the specification of [0053] to remove the use of propagation medium, and Mr. Howard agreed that Examiner may make the amendment. To be clear, Examiner received oral confirmation from Mr. Howard on January 19, 2008 clarifying that the Applicant disavows the subject matter that is being removed from the specification in the authorized Examiner's Amendment, specifically, the use of propagation related mediums.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Alexandria Bromell/
 Examiner, 2167
 January 14, 2009

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

